

# Examples of chromatic redshift in algebraic K-theory

## THH and trace methods seminar - Talk 17

Maite Carli

March 2025

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Reversing blueshift ([13, Sec. 2])</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Redshift for Lubin-Tate theories ([13, Sec. 3])</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Redshift for iterated <math>K</math>-theory of fields ([13, Sec. 4])</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	The case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ . . . . .	8
	<b>References</b>	<b>9</b>

## 1 Introduction

In this talk, we address the following question: if  $E$  is an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum of height  $n$ , then what is the height of the algebraic K-theory of  $E$ ,  $K(E)$ ? We do so following Allen Yuan’s arguments in [13].

Throughout let  $p$  be a fixed prime and work  $p$ -completed where necessary.

**Definition 1.1.** An  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum  $E$  is of *height*  $n$  if  $T(n) \otimes E \neq 0$  but  $T(n+1) \otimes E \simeq 0$ .

**Remark 1.2.** In this case, by a theorem of Hahn (Thm. 1.1, [5]), it holds that

$$T(m) \otimes E \neq 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq m \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad T(m) \otimes E \simeq 0 \quad \forall m > n.$$

Some historical remarks about redshift:

- In 2002, Ausoni and Rognes observed, while doing calculations for  $K(ku)$ , that  $K$ -theory has a tendency to shift height up by one. This idea became known as *Redshift philosophy*.
- Various computations by Blumberg, Mandell, Ausoni and Rognes among others kept confirming this phenomenon.
- In 2020, Clausen Matthew Naumann Noel showed that  $K$ -theory cannot shift the height by more than one:

**Theorem 1.3** ([4, Thm. A]). *Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring and  $n \geq 0$ . If  $L_{T(n)}R = 0$ , then  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R) = 0$ .*

- In this talk, we will focus on redshift for Lubin-Tate theories and for iterated  $K$ -theory of fields. These results were shown by Yuan in 2021:

**Theorem 1.4** ([13, Thm. A]). *Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , let  $\mathbb{G}_0$  be a 1-dimensional formal group over  $k$  of height  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $E_n = E_{k, \mathbb{G}_0}$  denote the associated Lubin-Tate theory. Then,  $L_{T(n+1)}K(E_n) \neq 0$ .*

**Theorem 1.5** ([13, Thm. B]). *Let  $n \geq 0$  and let  $K^{(n)}$  denote the  $n$ -fold iterate of algebraic  $K$ -theory. Then, for any field  $k$  of characteristic different from  $p$ , the spectrum  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(k)$  is nonzero.*

- The redshift conjecture was finally shown to be true in 2022 by Burklund-Schlank-Yuan in [3]. The proof relies on theorem 1.4, the fact that there cannot exist a ring map from the zero ring into a non-trivial ring and the new ingredient that any non-trivial,  $T(n)$ -local  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring admits a ring map to some Lubin-Tate theory  $E_n$ .

We briefly recall a few facts on  $T(n)$  and its relation to  $K(n)$ :

**Definition 1.6.** For  $X$  a finite type  $n$  spectrum<sup>1</sup> and a  $v_n$ -self map  $f^2$ , define

$$T(n) = \operatorname{colim}(X \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma^{-d} X \xrightarrow{f} \dots) = X[f^{-1}].$$

**Remark 1.7.** By asymptotic uniqueness of  $v_n$ -self maps and the thick subcategory theorem, the Bousfield class of  $T(n)$  depends only on  $n$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *A homotopy commutative ring spectrum  $Y$  is  $T(n)$ -acyclic if and only if it is  $K(n)$ -acyclic.*

*Proof.* We begin by assuming that  $Y$  is  $T(n)$ -acyclic. Then,  $Y \otimes T(n) \otimes K(n) \simeq 0$  as well. Observe that  $T(n) \otimes K(n)$  is a  $K(n)$ -module and as such it is equivalent to a direct sum of shifts of  $K(n)$ . In other words,  $K(n)$  is a retract of  $T(n) \otimes K(n)$ . Hence,  $Y$  must be  $K(n)$ -acyclic.

The converse is an application of the nilpotence theorem. Observe that if  $X$  is of type  $n$ , then  $X \otimes DX$  is also of type  $n$ . The latter is always a homotopy commutative ring spectrum and we can define  $T(n)$  using this spectrum, thus endowing it with a homotopy commutative ring structure. As  $Y$  was assumed to be a ring spectrum,  $Y \otimes T(n)$  is too. To show that  $Y \otimes T(n) \simeq 0$ , it suffices to show that  $1 \in \pi_*(Y \otimes T(n))$  is nilpotent. By the nilpotence theorem, this is the case if and only if  $1 \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_*(Y \otimes T(n) \rightarrow K(m)_*(Y \otimes T(n))))$  for all non-negative integers  $m$ . If  $m = n$ , this is true by assumption. Else, observe that

$$0 \simeq T(n) \otimes K(m) = \operatorname{colim}(K(m) \otimes X \otimes DX \xrightarrow{f} K(m) \otimes X \otimes DX \xrightarrow{f} \dots).$$

Indeed, as  $f$  is a  $v_n$ -self map, it is nilpotent on  $K(m)$ -homology and thus the colimit is trivial.  $\square$

## 2 Reversing blueshift ([13, Sec. 2])

To understand why  $K$ -theory shifts the height up by one, let us first analyse a case where height gets shifted down. Recall the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** (Kuhn). *Let  $n \geq 0$  and let  $X$  be a  $T(n)$ -local spectrum with an action of  $C_p$ . Then  $L_{T(n)}X^{tC_p} \simeq 0$ .*

Hence,  $(-)^{tC_p}$  is seen to shift the height down by one. This is called blueshift.

<sup>1</sup>i.e. such that  $K(n)_*(X) \neq 0$ , but  $K(m)_*(X) = 0 \forall m, n$ .

<sup>2</sup>i.e.  $f$  is an isomorphism on  $K(n)$ -homology and nilpotent on  $K(m)$ -homology for  $m \neq n$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = KU_p$ . This is  $T(1)$ -local. Endow it with the trivial  $C_p$ -action and consider  $X^{tC_p}$ . Its homotopy groups are given by

$$\pi_*(KU_p^{tC_p}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\hat{\beta}^\pm]((x))/(\beta^{-1}((1 + \beta x)^p - 1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p[\hat{\xi}_p]((x)).$$

Here, inverting  $x$  also inverted  $p$  via the relation, the  $p^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity corresponds to  $1 + \beta x$ . Thus,  $X^{tC_p}$  is rational, i.e. of height 0, one height less than what we started with.

**Remark 2.3.** To calculate the homotopy groups we used that for  $E$  complex oriented with a trivial  $C_p$ -action

$$\pi_*(E^{tC_p}) = E_*((x))/([p](x))$$

where  $x$  is in degree 2 and  $[p]$  denotes the  $p$ -series of the formal group law associated to  $E$ .

If we could construct a procedure that shifts the height of  $X^{tC_p}$  back up by one, we would get an instance of redshift. Hence, we wonder: can we reverse blueshift? An answer to this will be theorem 2.8. First, we consider the following example to gain some intuition.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the complex-oriented spectrum  $\mathbb{Z}$  endowed with the trivial  $C_p$ -action. This is of height 0. By remark 2.3,  $\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}^{tC_p}) = \mathbb{F}_p((x))$ , hence we think of  $\mathbb{Z}^{tC_p}$  as having height  $-1$  (it vanishes already rationally). Observe that  $C_p$  is a subgroup of the circle group  $S^1$ , which can also be seen as acting trivially on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Z}^{hC_p}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{hC_p}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{tC_p}$  inherit residual  $S^1/C_p \cong S^1$ -actions. Consider the subgroup  $C_{p^2}/C_p < S^1/C_p$  and let us analyse what effect taking its homotopy fixed points has.

Consider the map  $\mathbb{Z}^{hC_p^{hC_{p^2}/C_p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{tC_p^{hC_{p^2}/C_p}}$ . The source can be identified with  $\mathbb{Z}^{hC_{p^2}^3}$ , whose homotopy groups are given by  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(p^2x)$ . This knowledge enables us to compute the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{Z}^{tC_p^{hC_{p^2}/C_p}}$  by comparing the two homotopy fixed point spectral sequences (this is left to the reader). One finds that  $\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}^{tC_p^{hC_{p^2}/C_p}}) = \mathbb{Z}/p^2((x))$ .

Thus, taking fixed points with respect to  $C_{p^2}/C_p$  has added a power of  $p$  back into  $\mathbb{Z}^{tC_p}$ , i.e. multiplication by  $p$  is no longer zero on the homotopy groups, only multiplication by  $p^2$ . This gives the hope that at the colimit, i.e. taking  $S^1/C_p$ -fixed points, one gets back a rational spectrum (one with  $p$  inverted + work  $p$ -completed) and one has reversed blueshift.

This hope turns out to be justified. As the following lemma states, taking  $S^1/C_p$ -fixed points is the same as applying  $(-)^{tS^1}$  in the  $p$ -completed setting. Then, proposition 2.6 will show that, unlike  $(-)^{tC_p}$ , the functor  $(-)^{tS^1}$  does not lower the height.

**Lemma 2.5** (Tate-orbit). *Let  $X$  be a bounded below spectrum with  $S^1$ -action. Then there is a natural map  $X^{tS^1} \rightarrow X^{tC_p^{hS^1/C_p}}$  which exhibits the target as the  $p$ -completion of the source <sup>4</sup>.*

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $E$  be a homotopy commutative ring spectrum with  $S^1$ -action such that  $L_{T(n)}E \neq 0$ . Then,  $L_{T(n)}(E^{tS^1}) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We begin by reducing to the case where  $E$  is complex oriented. By lemma 1.8,  $L_{T(n)}E \simeq 0$  if and only if  $L_{K(n)}E \simeq 0$ . In this case,  $L_{K(n)}K(n) \otimes E \simeq 0$  as well (since  $E \otimes K(n) \simeq 0$ ). Tensoring with the unit, one obtains a ring map  $E \rightarrow E \otimes K(n)$ . Applying  $L_{K(n)}$  to this map gives that  $L_{K(n)}E \neq 0$  if  $L_{K(n)}E \otimes K(n) \neq 0$  as there are no ring maps from 0 to a non-trivial ring. Combining these observations, we find that

$$L_{T(n)}E \neq 0 \iff L_{K(n)}E \neq 0 \iff L_{K(n)}E \otimes K(n) \neq 0 \iff L_{T(n)}E \otimes K(n) \neq 0.$$

<sup>3</sup>For a spectrum  $X$  with an action of a group  $G$  and  $N$  a normal subgroup, it holds that  $X^{hN^{hG/N}} \simeq X^{hG}$ .

<sup>4</sup>Here  $C_p$  acts on  $X$  via its induced action as subgroup of  $S^1$ , and  $X^{tC_p}$  is endowed with a residual  $S^1/C_p$ -action as in the previous example

Moreover, as  $(-)^{tS^1}$  is lax symmetric monoidal, there is a ring map  $E^{tS^1} \rightarrow (E \otimes K(n))^{tS^1}$ . Hence, by the same argument as above, if  $L_{T(n)}(E \otimes K(n))^{tS^1} \neq 0$ , then  $L_{T(n)}E^{tS^1} \neq 0$ . Replacing  $E$  by  $E \otimes K(n)$ , we can thus without loss of generality assume that  $E$  is complex oriented.

Then, it holds that  $E^{tS^1} \simeq E^{hS^1}[x^{-1}]$  (this is an enhancement of remark 2.3) and

$$E^{hS^1} = E^{\Sigma_+^{\infty} \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}} \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Sigma^{2n} E$$

(see [8, Lecture 4, Prop. 7] for the last equivalence). In particular,  $E$  is a summand/retract of  $E^{tS^1}$  and thus  $L_{T(n)}E^{tS^1}$  cannot vanish if  $L_{T(n)}E$  does not.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** These last two results are where the redshift happens. Combining them, one gets that  $(-)^{hS^1/C_p}$  shifts the height of  $e^{tC_p}$ , for  $e$  some connective spectrum, up by one. In more detail, by blueshift (thm. 2.1), the height of  $e^{tC_p}$  is one lower than the height of  $e$  (at least if  $e$  is  $T(n)$ -local). By lemma 2.5,  $e^{tC_p hS^1/C_p} \simeq e_p^{tS^1}$  and, by proposition 2.6,  $e_p^{tS^1}$  is of the same height as  $e$ .

The assumption that  $e$  from the previous remark is connective is crucial. Lemma 2.5 only applies to bounded below spectra and one can show that  $(E^{tC_p})^{hS^1/C_p}$  might not be equivalent to  $E_p^{tS^1}$  when  $E$  is not bounded below (for example for  $E = KU_p$ ; see [13, Rmk. 2.8].)

However, exploiting these observations, we still get a general statement that " $K$ -theory reverses blueshift":

**Theorem 2.8** ([13, Thm. C]). *Let  $E$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum such that  $L_{T(n)}$  is nonzero. Then  $L_{T(n)}K(E^{tC_p})$  is nonzero.*

*Proof.* We aim to apply the previous observations on reversing blueshift. So we begin by reducing to the case where  $E$  is connective. We do this thanks to the following two results.

**Theorem 2.9** ([7, Thm. A]). *Let  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a map of  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -ring spectra which is a  $T(n-1) \oplus T(n)$ -equivalence. Then  $K(A) \rightarrow K(B)$  is a  $T(n)$ -equivalence.*

**Lemma 2.10** ([4, Lem. 4.7]). *Let  $X$  be a coconnective spectrum. Then  $X^{tC_p}$  is  $T(n)$ -acyclic for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

Roughly, to prove this lemma, one observes that  $(-)^{tC_p}$  commutes with filtered colimits on coconnective spectra. Hence, one can use the Whitehead tower to reduce to the case when  $X$  is concentrated in a single degree. Then,  $X^{tC_p}$  is a  $p$ -torsion  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and thus  $T(n)$ -acyclic for all  $n$  ( $T(0)$ -acyclic because of  $p$ -torsion and  $T(n)$ -acyclic for  $n \geq 1$  because  $\mathbb{Z}$  is).

Combining these results, one finds that the map  $K((\tau_{\geq 0}E)^{tC_p}) \rightarrow K(E^{tC_p})$  is a  $T(n)$ -equivalence for all  $n \geq 1$ : the cofiber of  $(\tau_{\geq 0}E)^{tC_p} \rightarrow E^{tC_p}$  is of the form  $X^{tC_p}$  for  $X$  coconnective, thus by lemma 2.10 that map is a  $T(n)$ -equivalence for all  $n$ . By theorem 2.9, it remains a  $T(n)$ -equivalence for  $n \geq 1$  when applying  $K$ . We have thus reduced to showing the result for  $e := \tau_{\geq 0}E$ .

We now aim to construct a map of  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -rings  $K(e^{tC_p}) \rightarrow R$  for some  $R$  of which we know it has height at least  $n$ . This will be done via the Dennis trace map,  $THH$  and our previous observations on reversing blueshift.

Recall: Let  $A$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring and  $B$  an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring with an action of  $S^1$ . Then,

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Fun}(BS^1, \mathrm{CAlg})}(THH(A), B) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}}(A, \mathrm{Fgt}(B))$$

where  $\mathrm{Fgt} : \mathrm{Fun}(BS^1, \mathrm{CAlg}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}$  is induced by  $f : \star \rightarrow BS^1$  and forgets the  $S^1$ -action.

Indeed, the left adjoint to  $Fgt$  is left Kan extended from  $\star \subset BS^1$ . Thus, it is given by <sup>5</sup>  
 $\text{colim}_{x \in BS^1} ((\star \times_{BS^1} BS^1/x) \simeq S^1 \rightarrow \star \xrightarrow{A} CAlg) := \text{colim}_{S^1} (A) \simeq THH(A)$  where the last equivalence is specific to  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings.

We apply this recollection to  $A = B = e^{tC_p}$  and endow  $B$  with the residual  $S^1/C_p \cong S^1$  action. Under the above correspondence, the identity of  $e^{tC_p}$  corresponds to an  $S^1$ -equivariant ring map  $THH(e^{tC_p}) \rightarrow e^{tC_p}$ . Precomposing with the (circle invariant) Dennis trace map and taking fixed points, this yields a ring map

$$K(e^{tC_p}) \rightarrow THH(e^{tC_p})^{hS^1/C_p} \rightarrow (e^{tC_p})^{hS^1/C_p} \simeq e_p^{tS^1}$$

where the last equivalence is lemma 2.5. By proposition 2.6, the last ring has non-trivial  $T(n)$ -localisation and thus so does  $K(e^{tC_p})$  since there cannot be ring maps from the zero ring to a non-trivial one. □

### 3 Redshift for Lubin-Tate theories ([13, Sec. 3])

We now turn to the proof of theorem 1.4. Again, we aim to construct an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring map  $E_n \rightarrow A$  for some well-chosen  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $A$  of which we know that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(A) \neq 0$ . Luckily, it is not too hard to construct ring maps out of a Lubin-Tate theory.

**Theorem 3.1** (Goerss-Hopkins-Miller, Lurie, [13, Thm. 4.2]). *Let  $E_n = E_{k, \mathbb{G}_0}$  be a Lubin-Tate theory of height  $n$ ,  $R$  a 2-periodic complex oriented  $K(n)$ -local  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum. Let  $\mathbb{G}_R := \text{Spf}(R^0(\mathbb{C}P^\infty))$  denote the canonical Quillen formal group law over  $\pi_0(R)$  and let  $I_n$  be the ideal in  $\pi_0(R)$  corresponding to the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Landweber ideal generated by (a choice of)  $p, v_1, \dots, v_n$ . Then, there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\text{Map}_{CAlg}(E_n, R) \simeq \{(f, \alpha) \mid f : k \rightarrow \pi_0(R)/I_n \text{ ring hm, } \alpha : f^* \mathbb{G}_0 \cong \mathbb{G}_{R\pi_0(R)/I_n} \text{ iso over } \pi_0(R)/I_n\}.$$

Thus, we would like to choose  $A$  2-periodic complex oriented,  $K(n)$ -local to obtain the desired ring map by simply specifying a ring homomorphism  $k \rightarrow \pi_0(A)/I_n$  and an appropriate isomorphism of formal groups. In view of the previous section, a natural candidate for  $A$  would be  $L_{K(n)}E_{n+1}^{tC_p} := R'$ . This ring does have an associated formal group of height  $n$  at certain residue fields. However, these fields are often finite extensions of Laurent series ring over  $k$ . The associated formal groups are complicated and not usually isomorphic to another height  $n$  formal group over  $k$ . It would be nice to modify  $R'$  slightly, so that  $\pi_0(R')/I_n$  is separably closed and thus all formal groups of a given height are isomorphic over it<sup>6</sup>. This is achieved by the following construction:

**Construction 3.2.** Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\pi_0(R))$ . Then one can produce a ring  $\pi_0(R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$ , the *strict henselization* of  $\pi_0(R)$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , such that:

1.  $\pi_0(R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is strictly henselian; in particular, it is a local ring with separably closed residue field.
2.  $\pi_0(R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is a filtered colimit of étale  $\pi_0(R)$ -algebra maps.
3.  $\mathfrak{p}\pi_0(R)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is its maximal ideal.

This construction can be lifted (essentially uniquely) to produce an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  and a ring map  $R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  with the above properties on  $\pi_0$ .

<sup>5</sup>see [9, Tag 02Y1]

<sup>6</sup>see for example [10, Cor. 15.4] for a discussion of this.

This construction will be useful due to the following facts.

**Fact 3.3.** 1. Since the residue field is separably closed, all formal groups of height  $n$  over a strictly henselian ring are isomorphic.

2.  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is a filtered colimit of étale neighborhoods of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , i.e.  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$  where each  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$  is an étale neighborhood of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
3. Let  $Y$  denote an étale cover of  $R$ . The functor  $Y \mapsto L_{T(n)}K(\operatorname{Perf}(Y))$  is an étale sheaf on  $R$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* • As already introduced, consider  $E_{n+1} = E_{k, \mathbb{G}'_0}$  a Lubin-Tate theory over  $k$  of height  $n+1$  and set  $R := E_{n+1}^{tC_p}$ . By theorem 2.8, we know that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R) \neq 0$ .

- Claim 1: There exists  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_0(R))$  such that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \neq 0$ .

In what follows, we consider  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  for this specific  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We defer the proof of this claim to the end of the section.

- Since  $L_{T(n+1)}R \simeq 0$  by blueshift (thm. 2.1)<sup>7</sup>,  $L_{T(n) \oplus T(n+1)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} \simeq L_{T(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$ <sup>8</sup>. Combining this with the tautology that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} \rightarrow L_{T(n) \oplus T(n+1)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is a  $T(n) \oplus T(n+1)$ -equivalence and theorem 2.9 yields  $L_{T(n+1)}K(L_{T(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \simeq L_{T(n+1)}K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \neq 0$ , where the last non-equivalence is claim 1.

- By a result of Hovey ([6, Cor. 1.10]),  $L_{T(n+1)}K(L_{T(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \simeq L_{T(n+1)}K(L_{K(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})$ . The ring  $L_{K(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is the one that will play the role of  $A$  from the first paragraph of this section.

- It remains to construct a map of  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -rings  $E_n = E_{k, \mathbb{G}_0} \rightarrow L_{K(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$ . Then, we conclude by the previous point and the, by now familiar, fact that there cannot be a ring map from the zero ring to a non-trivial one.

- Set  $\Gamma := \operatorname{Spf}((R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})^0(\mathbb{C}P^{\infty}))$ . By theorem 3.1, to get a ring map  $E_n \rightarrow L_{K(n)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$ , it suffices to construct a ring homomorphism  $f : k \rightarrow \pi_0(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})/I_n$  and an isomorphism of formal groups  $f^*(\mathbb{G}_0) \cong \Gamma_{\pi_0(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})/I_n}$ .

- To construct  $f$ , recall that  $\pi_*(R) = W(k)[v_1, \dots, v_n][u^{\pm}]/((x)/([p](x))$  with  $u$  and  $x$  in degree 2, the rest in degree 0. In particular, the inclusion of  $k$  into its Witt vectors yields a ring map  $k \rightarrow \pi_0(R)/I_n$ . Composing this with  $\pi_0(R)/I_n \rightarrow \pi_0(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})/I_n$  gives  $f$ .

- Claim 2:  $\Gamma$  has height  $n$  and  $\pi_0(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})/I_n$  is strictly henselian.

The first part of this claim is essentially lemma 4.6 in [13] (and was skipped for time reasons). Roughly, the idea is to compute the height at the residue field and observe that it cannot be greater than  $n$  because  $R$  (and thus  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$ ) is of height  $n$ , nor smaller than  $n$  because that would contradict  $K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh})$  having height  $n+1$  (via theorem 2.9). The second part follows from the fact that  $I_n$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

- We conclude by fact 3.3.1, that the required isomorphism exists and thus conclude the proof of theorem 1.4. □

<sup>7</sup> As there is a ring map from  $R$  to its strict henselization, this implies  $L_{T(n+1)}R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} = 0$ .

<sup>8</sup> It is not always true that for ring spectra  $A, B, X$  such that  $X \otimes B \simeq 0$ , it holds that  $L_{A \vee B}X \simeq L_A X$ . This holds if and only if  $L_A X$  is also  $B$ -acyclic. This is always guaranteed if  $L_A$  is smashing or if the localisation  $X \rightarrow L_A X$  is a ring map (which is the situation here). However, set  $A = \mathbb{S}/p$ ,  $B = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $X = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $B \otimes X = 0$ , but  $L_A X = \Sigma \mathbb{Z}_p^{\wedge}$  which is not  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic.

We conclude this section by giving the proof of claim 1, because it illustrates nicely how to make use of étale descent.

*Proof of Claim 1.* We proceed by contradiction, aiming to contradict the fact that  $L_{T(n+1)}R \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \simeq 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\pi_0(R))$ . Recall from fact 3.3.2 that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}$  is a filtered colimit of étale neighborhoods of  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh} = \text{colim}_{i \in I} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ . The functor  $K(-)$  commutes with filtered colimits, thus

$$L_{T(n+1)}K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{sh}) \simeq 0 \iff L_{T(n+1)} \text{colim}_{i \in I} K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}) \simeq 0 \iff \text{colim}_{i \in I} T(n+1) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)} \simeq 0.$$

As in lemma 1.8,  $T(n+1)$  can be chosen to have a ring structure and the above colimit is a filtered colimit of rings. This vanishes if and only if it vanishes at a finite stage<sup>9</sup>. Thus, for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\pi_0(R))$  there exists some  $i_{\mathfrak{p}} \in I$  such that  $L_{T(n+1)}K(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i_{\mathfrak{p}})}) \simeq 0$ . Now, we invoke fact 3.3.3 ( $K$ -theory is an étale sheaf) and the fact that these  $\{R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i_{\mathfrak{p}})}\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\pi_0(R))}$  are an étale cover of  $R$  to conclude that  $L_{T(n+1)}R \simeq 0$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

## 4 Redshift for iterated $K$ -theory of fields ([13, Sec. 4])

Finally, we sketch the proof of theorem 1.5. For convenience, let us recall the statement here. Throughout, for any functor  $F$  that can be iterated,  $F^{(n)}$  will denote the  $n$ -fold iterate of  $F$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $n \geq 0$ , for any field  $k$  of characteristic different from  $p$ , the spectrum  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(k)$  is nonzero.*

*Proof.* We begin by reducing the statement to the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_p)$  with  $\xi_p$  a  $p^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity.

- First, reduce to the case when  $k$  is algebraically closed. (There is a ring map from  $k$  to its algebraic closure, then apply the usual trick).
- In that case, Suslin (1983/84, [11], [12]) showed that  $L_{T(1)}K(k) \simeq KU_p$ .
- By a result of Bhatt-Clausen-Matthew (2020, [2]):  $L_{T(1)}K(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})) \simeq L_{T(1)}(KU_p \otimes K(\mathbb{Q}))$ . By tensoring with the unit and applying  $L_{T(1)}K(-)$ , the latter admits a ring map from  $KU_p$ . By a series of applications of theorem 2.9 and 1.3, this allows one to reduce to the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty}) := \text{colim}_j \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^j})$ <sup>10</sup>.
- As in the proof of claim 1, we use that  $K$ -theory commutes with filtered colimits to get  $T(n) \otimes K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})) \simeq \text{colim}_j T(n) \otimes K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^j}))$ . As a filtered colimit of rings, this vanishes if and only if it vanishes at a finite stage. Thus, we are left to show that  $T(n) \otimes K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^j})) \neq 0$  for all nonnegative integers  $j$ .
- This step uses the magic of Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings (in the sense of Rognes) to reduce to the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_p)$ . Observe that  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_p) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^j})$  is a (classical) Galois extension with Galois group  $G := C_{p^{j-1}}$ .

<sup>9</sup>This colimit vanishes if and only if the unit of the colimit is trivial. The unit is an element in  $\text{Map}(\mathbb{S}, \text{colim}_i R^i) \simeq \text{colim}_i \text{Map}(\mathbb{S}, R^i)$  using compactness of the sphere spectrum. Under this equivalence, the unit is the colimit of the units. This vanishes if and only if there exists  $i$  such that the unit of  $R^i$  is trivial. But this implies that the ring itself is trivial, thus the colimit vanishes at a finite stage.

<sup>10</sup>For example, to show that  $L_{T(2)}K^{(2)}(k) \neq 0$ , we observe that by theorem 2.9 the map  $K^{(2)}(k) \rightarrow K(L_{T(1) \vee T(2)}K(k))$  is a  $T(2)$ -equivalence. Via the ring map  $L_{T(2)}K(L_{T(1) \vee T(2)}K(k)) \rightarrow L_{T(2)}K(L_{T(1)}K(k))$  and Suslin's computation, we reduce to showing that  $L_{T(2)}K(KU_p) \neq 0$ . By Bhatt-Clausen-Matthew's result, this is the case if  $L_{T(2)}K(L_{T(1)}K(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty}))) \neq 0$ . This is true if  $L_{T(2)}K^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})) \neq 0$ . Indeed, by theorem 2.9,  $L_{T(2)}K^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})) \simeq L_{T(2)}K(L_{T(1) \vee T(2)}K(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})))$ . As  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})$  is rational, by theorem 1.3,  $K(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty}))$  is  $T(2)$ -acyclic and by footnote 7,  $L_{T(2)}K(L_{T(1) \vee T(2)}K(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty}))) \simeq L_{T(2)}K(L_{T(1)}K(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^\infty})))$ .

Claim: This remains a  $G$ -Galois extension after applying  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(-)$ . In particular,  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_p)) \simeq L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_{p^j}))^{hC_{p^{j-1}}}$ . We will not discuss the proof here, this is theorem 5.12 in [13] and essentially a combination of results from [7], [4] and [1].

The case  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_p)$  is shown by refining the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  (which we will do in the next subsection). More precisely, in the proof of the rational case, we will construct some ring map  $\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\psi} R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $K^{(n)}(R \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  is not  $T(n)$ -acyclic. One can show that there is a ring map  $\Phi^{C_p^{xn}} e_n \rightarrow R$ , where  $e_n$  is the connective cover of some Lubin-Tate theory of height  $n$  with algebraically closed residue field. By [13, Prop. 5.8], this implies that  $\pi_0(R \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  contains a primitive  $p^{th}$ -root of unity. Using that  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\xi_p)$  is étale, one can extend  $\psi$  to a ring map  $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_p) \rightarrow R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  to conclude.  $\square$

#### 4.1 The case $k = \mathbb{Q}$

To show theorem 1.5 in the case  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , we proceed in two familiar steps. We first construct a map of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -rings  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(R)$  for some well chosen ring  $R$  and then show that  $K^{(n)}(R)$  is not  $T(n)$ -acyclic. In view of the previous sections, it makes sense to choose  $R$  to be some iterated variant of  $E_n$  and its Tate construction. We set  $t_+E_n := (\tau_{\geq 0}E_n)^{tC_p}$  and set  $R = t_+^{(n)}E_n$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exists an  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -ring map  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 2.9 implies that  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(R) \simeq L_{T(n)}K(L_{T(n)\vee T(n-1)}K^{(n-1)}(R))$ . Iterating this, one finds that

$$L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(R) \simeq L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(L_{T(0)\vee \dots \vee T(n-1)\vee T(n)}R).$$

Claim:  $R$  is  $T(1) \vee \dots \vee T(n-1) \vee T(n)$ -acyclic, so

$$L_n^{p,f}R := L_{T(0)\vee \dots \vee T(n-1)\vee T(n)}R \simeq L_{T(0)}R.$$

This can be shown computationally and Yuan also gives a more hands-off proof using genuine equivariant homotopy theory ([13, Lem. 5.3]).

By this claim,  $L_n^{p,f}R$  is rational (we work  $p$ -completed), so it is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module. Thus, the unit map  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow L_n^{p,f}R$  will give the desired ring map.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.**  $L_{T(n)}K^{(n)}(R) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of theorem 2.8. Analogous adjunctions to those in the proof of theorem 2.8 combined with the  $(S^1)^{\times n}$ -equivariant Dennis trace map give a ring map

$$K^{(n)}(R) \rightarrow THH^{(n)}(R)^{h(S^1)^{\times n}} \rightarrow R^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times n}}.$$

Thus, all that is left to show is that  $R^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times n}}$  is not  $T(n)$ -acyclic.

To do so, we show inductively on  $k \geq 0$  that  $(t_+^{(k)}(E_n))^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times k}}$  is not  $T(n)$ -acyclic. The idea is, as before, to use the Tate-Orbit lemma to make  $(-)^{tS^1}$  appear and exploit that this does not lower the height.

The case  $k = 0$  is clear. Thus, let  $k \geq 1$  and suppose the statement was shown for  $k - 1$ . Recall that

$$t_+^{(k)}(E_n) = t_+(t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n)) = (\tau_{\geq 0}t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n))^{tC_p^{(k)}} \text{ }^{11}.$$

Using the Tate-Orbit lemma (lemma 2.5) in the last equality:

$$(t_+^{(k)}(E_n))^{hS^1/C_p^{(k)}} = ((\tau_{\geq 0}t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n))^{tC_p^{(k)}})^{hS^1/C_p^{(k)}} \simeq_p (\tau_{\geq 0}t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n))^{tS^1}.$$

<sup>11</sup>By this notation, we mean the action of the  $k^{th}$   $C_p$  group in the product.

Now  $\tau_{\geq 0}t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n)$  is complex oriented, thus, as in the proof of proposition 2.6, it is a retract of its  $S^1$ -Tate construction. Thus, applying fixed points to the previous equivalences,

$$(t_+^{(k)}(E_n))^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times k}} \simeq ((t_+^{(k)}(E_n))^{hS^1/C_p^{(k)}})^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times k-1}} \simeq_p ((\tau_{\geq 0}t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n))^{tS^1})^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times k-1}}.$$

The last term has  $\tau_{\geq 0}t_+^{(k-1)}(E_n)^{h(S^1/C_p)^{\times k-1}}$  as a retract and this is not  $T(n)$ -acyclic by inductive assumption.  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Barthel, S. Carmeli, T. M. Schlank, and L. Yanovski. The chromatic fourier transform, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.12822>.
- [2] B. Bhatt, D. Clausen, and A. Mathew. Remarks on  $k(1)$ -local  $k$ -theory, 2020. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.11622>.
- [3] R. Burklund, T. M. Schlank, and A. Yuan. The chromatic nullstellensatz, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.09929>.
- [4] D. Clausen, A. Mathew, N. Naumann, and J. Noel. Descent and vanishing in chromatic algebraic  $k$ -theory via group actions, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.08233>.
- [5] J. Hahn. On the bousfield classes of  $h_\infty$ -ring spectra, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.04386>.
- [6] M. Hovey. Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture. In *The Čech centennial. A conference on homotopy theory dedicated to Eduard Čech on the occasion of his 100th birthday, June 22-26, 1993, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA*, pages 225–250. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1995. ISBN 0-8218-0296-8.
- [7] M. Land, A. Mathew, L. Meier, and G. Tamme. Purity in chromatically localized algebraic  $k$ -theory, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.10425>.
- [8] J. Lurie. Chromatic homotopy theory, 2010. URL <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/252x.html>.
- [9] J. Lurie. Kerodon. <https://kerodon.net>, 2025.
- [10] P. Pstragowski. Finite height chromatic homotopy theory, 2021. URL [https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~piotr/252y\\_notes.pdf](https://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~piotr/252y_notes.pdf).
- [11] A. Suslin. On the K-theory of algebraically closed fields. *Invent. Math.*, 73:241–245, 1983. ISSN 0020-9910. doi: 10.1007/BF01394024. URL <https://eudml.org/doc/143044>.
- [12] A. A. Suslin. On the K-theory of local fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 34:301–318, 1984. ISSN 0022-4049. doi: 10.1016/0022-4049(84)90043-4.
- [13] A. Yuan. Examples of chromatic redshift in algebraic  $K$ -theory. Preprint, arXiv:2111.10837 [math.KT] (2021), 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.10837>.